

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,169	07/28/2003	Harald Streicher	DT-6582	5592
30377	7590 11/22/2004		EXAM	INER
DAVID TOR		PRINCE, FRED G		
SIDLEY, AUSTIN, BROWN & WOOD, LLP 787 SEVENTH AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK,	NEW YORK, NY 10019-6018			
			DATE MAILED: 11/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(a)			
		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/628,169 Examiner	STREICHER ET AL.			
•	Fred Prince	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty divill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 (<u>October 2004</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Thi 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa					
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-3,6-10 and 12-14 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 4,5 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 	is/are withdrawn from consid	eration.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11).	cepted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance tion is required if the drawing(s)	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in App rity documents have been re u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0104	4) Interview Sum Paper No(s)/M 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/628,169

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Claims 1-3, 6-10, and 12-14 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on October 28, 2004.
- 2. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 4-5 and 11 in the reply filed on October 28, 2004 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 4-5 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Claim 4 recites the limitation "the flow circuit" in lines 2-3 and 4-5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claims 5 and 11 are rejected as depending from a rejected base claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/628,169 Page 3

Art Unit: 1724

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 4-5 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Vawter.

Vawter teaches a pump unit (20), and at least one of a filter unit (23, 24) and cooling unit (col. 5, lines 5-19). Regarding the apparatus being an "expansion module" having the intended use recited in the preamble, it is submitted that the limitation fails to breathe life and meaning into the claim, the claim does not rely on the preamble for completeness, and the recitation is one of intended use which fails to add structure to the "expansion module" itself. If it is applicant's position that the preamble adds structure to the claim, it is submitted that the apparatus of Vawter is capable of use as "an expansion module" between a rock working tool and a catch trough.

9. Claims 4-5 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Shibuya et al.

Shibuya et al. teach a pump unit (4), and a filter unit (5). Regarding the apparatus being an "expansion module" having the intended use recited in the preamble, it is submitted that the limitation fails to breathe life and meaning into the claim, the claim does not rely on the preamble for completeness, and the recitation is one of intended use which fails to add structure to the "expansion module" itself. If it is applicant's position that the

Application/Control Number: 10/628,169

Art Unit: 1724

preamble adds structure to the claim, it is submitted that the apparatus of Shibuya et al. is capable of use as "an expansion module" between a rock working tool and a catch trough.

Claims 4-5 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in 10. the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Yamashita (JP P2001-138117). Yamashita teaches a pump unit (5), and a filter unit (18). Regarding the apparatus being an "expansion module" having the intended use recited in the preamble, it is submitted that the limitation fails to breathe life and meaning into the claim, the claim does not rely on the preamble for completeness, and the recitation is one of intended use which fails to add structure to the "expansion module" itself. If it is applicant's position that the preamble adds structure to the claim, it is submitted that the apparatus of Yamashita is capable of use as "an expansion module" between a rock working tool and a catch trough.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to 11. applicant's disclosure. References are cited of interest to show the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fred Prince whose telephone number is (571) 272-1165. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 6:30-4:00; alt. Fridays 6:30-3:00.

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Fred Prince Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

fgp 11/18/04